

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,305	PRUSS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wayne Langel	1754	

All Participants:

(1) Wayne Langel.

(2) Mr. Abel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-49


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Abel that the restriction requirement was being modified to the extent that claims 11-13 are considered to be linking only among the inventions of Groups I, V, VI and VII as outlined in the restriction requirement made 10-27-05, and not among all the groups of inventions. Mr. Abel agreed to cancel claims 14-29 as drawn to non-elected inventions..